



Baseball | | Warcarft III | Blizzard Entertainment

GAMES AS SOCIAL PLAY

We are beginning to create a play community—not a forever community with a fixed code, but a temporary community with a code we make up as we go along, a community that we can continue creating anywhere, any time we find the people who want to create it with us.—Bernard DeKoven, "Creating the Play Community"



gaming the game forbidden play metagaming ideal vs. real rules transformative social play emergent social systems player roles safety and trust

bounded and unbounded communities

As players mingle with each other inside the magic circle, their social interactions highlight important aspects of a game's design. Meaningful play can be framed as a social phenomena. Understanding how social play becomes meaningful, manifest both as interactions occurring within an individual game, and as interactions across larger play communities, is the focus of this chapter.

This is not the first time we have discussed the interaction between players in a game. In Games as Game Theory Systems, for example, we explored the decision-making process of fotion players within very specialized kinds of games. Even within the incredibly narrow constraints of game theory, the consideration of two-player strategies transformed simple choices into remarkably complex game problems. Now as we consider player interaction within the full gamut of social play, things get very tricky indeed.

When we frame a game as social play, we consider the relationships between elements in the game system to be social relationships. The word "social" refers broadly to player interaction, and occurs on two levels. The first level of social interaction occurs within the magic circle, as a product of the formal system of a game. For example, in a game of Tag players assume social roles of "it" (the chasen) or "not it" (the chased). These social interactions are internally derived, as they emerge from the game's rules. The second level of social interaction is derived externally—social roles brought into the game from outside the magic circle, Pre-existing friendships and rivalries that affect ingame strategic choices, for example, are externally derived elements of social play.

Whether internally or externally derived, social relationships between players are modified by every action taken in the game. Social roles playfully shift and transform as the game proceeds. (You may be "It," but not for long if you are quick!) Navigating, manipulating, and transforming these relationships is one way that players achieve meaningful play in the social realm. Furthermore, the social play that occurs between players is a function of the way the game operates as a system of meaning. Playing garnes generates meanings for players, which reproduce and challenge codes of social interaction. The kinds of meaning generated as players relate to one another within and through a game is at the center of our exploration of games as social play.

In earlier chapters, we established the idea that games are symbolic systems of meaning. Extending this idea through social play we can consider a game as a symbolic system players use to communicate with each other. For example, two players can sit down and play Tic-Tac-Toe even if they don't share the same rative tongue, because they both know the "language" of the game. This is communication via game play, in which a game becomes a context for stylized communication, mediated through social interaction. The rules of a game determine the

External contexts always already affect communication via game play as well. Compared to other facets of play, the influence of factors brought to the game from external contexts is particularly strong when considering the social play of a game. Strategic and athletic skills, for example, penerally evolve as a player becomes more familiar with the internal workings of a game. Social interaction skills, in contrast, build directly on human experience. Therefore, existing relationships of trust and distrust, friendship and enmity can have a tremendous impact on the way that a game is played from a social point of view.

Because the forms of social interaction that occur within a game have strong connections to forms of social interaction outside the game, it will be impossible to consider social play without straying just a bit into the realm of culture. For this reason, Games as Social Play has a somewhat ethnographic character: understanding games as social play requires a great deal of careful observation. These initial forays to the edge of the magic circle and beyond will help set the stage for the CULTURE chapters to follow.

Player Roles

From a social play point of view, when a player enters into the system of a game, that player is given a role to play. By "role" we don't mean that a player becomes a character in a story. Rather, we mean that each player has a role in the social network of a game. Within this system of social relationships there are a wide variety of roles that players can assume, from arch-enemy to team leader to partner-in-crime. Roles are not fixed and may change many times within the course of a game. For example, in a three-player competitive game with one winner, at any moment during play one player might play the role of fast friend, bitter enemy, cloying annoyance, feared power, or grudg-

The term 'community' has gained status as a game industry buzzword in recent years, referencing the groups of players that can form inside and around multiplayer games. Although a group of players using their virtual avairst in an online games such as Everquest does indeed represent a game community, it represents only one very particular instance.

When we use the term community in the context of social play we are referencing something much more elemental and writed. As Deckown indicates in the quote that opened this chapter, a play community occurs any times a group of players gets together to play a game The community may last for years or decades, or only come into being for a single afternoon. A community could be created by a highly formalized professional car race, or by two friends sitting down to play. Checkers, Play communities can persist across more than one instance of the same game or across the play of many different games oner time. Communities can arise around a single game, series of games, or a larger game context, angling from two players having a quick match of Dance Dance Revolution in the mail accade, to several thousand players competting every four years in the Olympics.

Every month, gametab hosts a group of NYC-area game developers to play board games. These monthly gatherings create a social play community on at least three lovels, First, each individual game forms a play community, which arises when the game begins and ends when the game concludes. Second, each evenling get-together—in which a player is likely to play a handful of different games—also represents a play community, comprised of the people that attended the event. Third, it is also possible to consider a number of gatherings over months or years as a play community, even though the players that attend and the games that are played differ from evening to evening.

The exact scale at which you might conceptualize the notion of a play community depends on the game design problem you are trying to solve if your intention is to have playest take part in a game only orce or twice in itolation, then you will most likely focus on the play community that exists within the magic circle of an individual game. The more cohesion you want to create between plays of your game (sequels and expansions, a website that expands the narrative of the game, a fan club, etc.) the wider your social design focus will need to be.

game. Clearly, the social roles that a game provides exert a between players take on a completely different tone, and the forced a re-evaluation of social (and strategic) roles within the emergence of one of its members as a cutthroat competitor was originally conceived as a collaborative game strategy, the ing in feelings of bitterness and betrayal. Although the alliance divide among the three other members of the group, culminate game is infused with an air of deceit. The role Richard Hatch among the group of friends? Suddenly, the relationships take on the social role of comrades who must use teamwork to For example, imagine a different kind of game in which players tremendous influence on the overall experience of play. first season of the television series Survivor created a sharp assumed as the self-proclaimed leader of "the alliance" in the play well together. What if there was a single enemy hidden work together to attain a common goal: in this case, players

The chart to the right presents a list of social play roles from Brian Sutton-Smith's "A Syntax for Play and Games" in Child's Play, a book he edited with R.E. Heron.¹ Each of the roles Sutton-Smith identifies represents internally derived social interactions. In other words, they are roles created by the formal system of a game. Sutton-Smith's category "motive of play" is an abstraction of the game's core mechanic. Each "motive" refers to a general kind of interaction between players.

Although the opposition of an actor and a counteractor, is not the only way to frame social game play, it is one way of calling attention to the quality of conflict intrinsic to games. In Sutton-Smith's model, the roles of actor and counteractor are both

Role of Actor	Motive of Play	Role of Counteractor
To overtake	Race	To stay ahead
To catch, tackle, tag	Chase	To outdistance, dodge, or elude
To overcome a barrier, enter a guarded area, overpower a defense; to injure psychologically or otherwise	Attack	To defend an area or a person, to ward off, to be on guard
To take person, symbol	Capture	To avoid being taken
To tease, taunt, lure; to mistake or unsuc- cessfully attack	Harassment	To see through, to move suddenly and punish an attacker, to bide time
To find by chance or clue (object, person)	Search	To hide, to cover or mislead, to feign
To spring prisoner; to be savior	Rescue	To be jailer, to guard against escape
To tempt another forbidden action	Seduction	To resist, to have self-control
Social play roles		

ocial play roles

equally important in constructing the experience of play. The actual play activity is a function of the two player roles. The activity of Chase, for example, occurs when one player (the chased) attempts to catch another player (the chased), who in turn attempts to elude the chaser. If the chased player decided not to run anymore, to give up the role of eluding the chaser, the chase play would end (possibly turning into a different kind of activity, such as the attack play of informal wrestling, or the seduction play of stealing a kiss).

Social roles are crucial, because play emerges directly from the relationships between players. From a social play perspective Survivor was a compelling example of the power of social roles. Debates raged about which contestant was the better person.

Rules of Play | Salen and Zimmerman

the fact remains that Richard was the better *player*, as he recognized that he could manipulate social relations within the game to strategic ends. (And of course, this observation won him a million bucks.) The example of *Survivor* gets to the heart of this chapter: when we frame a game as social play, the social relationships constitute the entire experience of the game. Even in a very simple game like Chutes and Ladders, players are still enacting a race, in which each player is trying to stay ahead of, or catch up to, the other players.

Sutton-Smith's model is quite useful in understanding play as a function of player roles. It provides a wonderful way to analyze function of player roles. It provides a wonderful as provides ideas the existing social play in your game, as well as provides ideas for new social play experiences. In thinking about how you might apply Sutton-Smith's model to your game, consider the following:

- Not just two players. Although Sutton-Smith's model is based on a relationship between two players (an actor and a counteractor), these roles don't have to be played by just one player each. Game roles are tarely so simple and singular. In Hide-and-Seek (where the core activity is the search), the player that is "It" plays the role of actor while all of the other players are the hiding counteractor.
- Many activities. As with other kinds of play, a player can assume a range of social roles in the course of a single game. In Capture the Flag, almost every one of the activities listed on the chart takes place at one point or another, with any individual player playing both actor and counteractor at different moments in the game.
- More than one activity at once. Beyond switching roles, a player might inhabit more than one role simultaneously. Imagine a pair of Hide-and-Seek players hiding together. As they bide their time they playfully try to get the other to laugh and reveal the hiding place. The laughing game is a daring example of seduction, in which players tempt and

resist a forbidden action. The hiding players are thus playing several roles simultaneously (hiders, seducers, and the seduced).

- Not just human players. Both roles don't have to be taken on by human players. A runner might be trying to beat her own best time in a race, in which case her previous time serves as the opponent. In the single-player arcade game Robotron, the program provides different game elements that must be avoided (indestructible enemies), destroyed (shootable enemies) and rescued (humans).
- Different activities at different levels. Different social game activities can be applied to the same game depending on how the game is framed. Although Robotron can be described in terms of chase, attack, capture, and rescue, it is also possible to frame an entire game of Robotron as a race, in which a player tries to beat a previous high score.

Sutton-Smith's list of social play roles is quite extensive, but it is certainly not exhaustive. The essay "Hearts, Clubs, Diamonds, Spades: Players Who Suit MUDs," by MUD designer Richard Bartle, considers another typology of social play roles. In opposition to Sutton-Smith's model, Bartle's roles are externally derived, coming from outside the magic circle. Sutton-Smith derived his model from a study of children's playground games, and Bartle similarly focuses on one kind of game: text-based online MUDs. Bartle finds that within MUDs there are four types of roles, or playing styles: Achievers, Explorers. Socializers, and Killers, Although many individual players assume hybrid roles, according to Bartle one is generally dominant. Bartle associates each role with a playing card suit. In his account of the categories below, he descibes how each player role regards the other three styles of play:

Achievers (dlamonds) regard points gathering and rising in levels as their main goal, and all is ultimately subservient to this. Exploration is necessary only to find new sources of treasure, or improved ways of wringing points from it. Socializing is a relaxing method of dis-

comes only from discovery, and making the most complete set of be a constructive exercise in its own right.... Socializing can be and anyone with half a brain can do it. Killing is quicket and might necessary to enter some next phase of exploration, but it's tedious, informative as a source of new ideas to try out.... The real fun bugs) and figuring out how things work Scoring points may be wild, out-of-the-way places, looking for interesting features (i.e., machinations to them. They try progressively esoteric actions in Explorers [spades] delight in having the game expose its internal

stand them, and to form beautiful, lasting relationships. ultimately fulfilling thing is...getting to know people, to undersomeone who has caused intolerable pain to a dear friend. The only excused if it's a futile, impulsive act of revenge, perpetrated upon in the community). Killing, however, is something only ever to be to understand what everyone else is talking about, and points-scorthings happen to players. Some exploration may be necessary so as say. The game is merely a backdrop, a common ground where Socializers [hearts] are interested in people, and what they have to available only to higher levels (as well as to obtain a certain status ing could be required to gain access to neat communicative spells

one's playing habits, or discussing tactics with fellow killers. They're beyond taunting a recent victim, for example in finding out someways to kill people Even socializing is sometimes worthwhile exploration of a kind is necessary to discover new and ingenious at having caused it. Normal points-scoring is usually required...and [Killers] attack other players with a view to killing off their personae. Killers [clubs] get their kicks from imposing themselves on others. . The more massive the distress caused, the greater the killer's joy

> ing, juicy fun? themselves do nothing about it, is there any true adrenaline-shoot person, somewhere, is very upset by what you've just done, yet can all just means to an end, though; only in the knowledge that a real

role gains its identity through negotiation of the social frameof course, spend their time in conversation. Each type of player mischief; explorers trade and covet information; and socializers, other achievers for power; killers annoy other players with their more specifically, styles of social play. Achievers compete with overtly "social," all four roles represent not just styles of play, but some combination, interaction depends in large part on the work of the larger play community. world. Although socializers are the only group described as kind of social identity the player assumes within the game Whether a player is an achiever, explorer, killer, socializer, or

other players and visting spaces of the game world devoted to less at the social core mechanics of the game, and more at activities and interactions. izer, is a kind of macro-role, emerging from a cluster of related social interaction are of primary importance. The role of socialactivities and priorities, in which typing chat statements to izer, for example, is a role that emerges from a collection of higher-level social roles that players can assume. Being a socialfies and the model Sutton-Smith offers is that Bartle is looking One primary difference between the player roles Bartle identi-

Three Emergent Social Games

to the concept of emergence. When we frame a game as a is true for social play: social interaction in games is closely tied In Games Emergent Systems, we established that meaningful large-scale LARP, social play results in a variety of emergent play in a game requires a complex, emergent system. The same camaraderie of Gauntlet, to the collaborative storytelling of a play. From the emergent bluffing of Poker, to the competitive social system, it literally begins to burst with emergent social experiences. Think about Bartle's four categories of players. The

stylizing player interaction through a limited set of behavplify emergent social play. Each game is remarkably simple, Next we take a close look at three different games that exem ate their own roles and styles of play. sibility of a game is large enough, players will find ways to cre

games is remarkably emergent.

iors—yet the social roles and activities that arise from the

the rules, paraphrased from Knizia's description: dice-bluffing game, but a game rich in social play. Here are described in Reiner Knizia's Dice Games Properly Explained It is a dice-bluffing game that has a number of variations Not only is Little Max a simple and elegant version of a we will use the rules from the traditional game Little Max The first game example seems quite formal on the surface

say about your dice rolf. The last remaining player in the game wins remain in the game by making the other players believe what you You will need two dice and a cup. The object of the game is to

have two options no other player can see. Then he claims any result he wishes and shakes the dice under the cup and then peeks at the result so that passes the cup to the next player. When you receive the cup, you Play moves clockwise around the table in turns. The first player

claim and pass the cup Your claim must be a higher tota instead shake the cup, peek at the result, make your new than the previous player's claim Accept: If you accept the claim, you don't look at the dice but

claim is out of the game and you start a new round by shaking the dice. You can claim any result on the dice you want. a result lower than the claim, the player that made the false Challenge: You can challenge and lift the cup. If the dice show

> the claim, you are out of the game. The next player starts a new However, if the dice show a combination that is at least as high as

all of them can occur within the same game. If the space of posremarkable thing about these wildly varying player types is that

Following are the ranking of dice results

- A Little Max, when one die shows a 1 and the other shows a 2, is the highest result in the game and must always be challenged when it is called.
- pair and a pair of 1s the lowest Below pairs are figures, any Pairs are the next highest results, with a pair of 6s the highest
- and a 3 and a 1 is the lowest Figures are declared with the highest number in front (so a 4 and a 2 beats a 4 and a 1). A 6 and a 5 is the highest figure

cup unseen with a higher claim,3 even have to reroll the dice if he wants, in which case he passes the decide not to look at his roll and simply claim a result. He doesn't Play continues until only one player is left. Note that a player may

on in Little Max than mere number-crunching; explains in his analysis of the game, there is far more going going to play strictly by the numbers. But as Knizia tial die result. This resource is useful for players who are the percentage chance for being able to make each poten-Along with his description, Knizia includes a chart listing

The Psychology, or How to be a Duck

right choice when the dice are handed to you. Besides keeping a firm Poker face, Little Max is about making the

chances to produce his claim... current claim, but also on your evaluation of the previous player's Your decision to challenge depends on your chances to better the

6-1 or better, because the odds are in your favor. you should challenge the claim if the previous player had to beat The chances of beating a 6-1 or better are exactly 50%. In theory

Ducking among ducks is the best strategy.

When you bend the truth, be careful not to squeeze the next player too much or he might find himself forced to challenge you. On the other hand, just going one step higher with your result fooks implausible. The more you exceed your old claim the more you appear to speak the truth, because nobody expects you to go that far over the top. A contradiction! What about calling out the next possible result even when you produced something higher?

Body language and trembling nerves usually prevail over logical analysis. You will soon find yourself desperately searching for a good combination to claim while staring in disbelief at your incredibly low dice. Mumbling something like "five-six" obviously indicates that your mind is distracted. Could it be feath...

there is the marvelous anexidote of a game of Little Max where one of the dice actually got lost during play and the game continued for several rounds because everyone was terrified of being caught out. What a feeling, if you claim "Two fives" with only one die under the cup.4

At first glance, the game seems to be a formal affair about number guessing and pushing your luck with the roll of a pair of clice. However, as Krizia makes clear, Little Max is really a game of psychology and social play. Even though it seems like the game takes place as a series of isolated claims between two players, the decision to challenge or not to challenge has far-reaching implications. It is safer to "duck" and accept a claim that comes to your as soon as you do, however, you are going to have to immediately rum around and persent a claim to someone else. Having just played the role of the guesser, you are now going to have to assume the role of the bluffer.

emergent social play! of deceptive bluffing. Such simple rules, generating such game quickly becomes a desperate, nervous experience tightly interlocking set of play roles is a game of deeply lous will your claim have to be by then? The result of this Furthermore, as a bluffer you always present a claim that is game of numbers and probability, the logical play of the engaging social play. Even though Little Max is an abstract but eventually the cup will come back to you. How ridicutrustworthy are you? You can keep on ducking, of course, enough, the rest of the players also come into play. How force you to bluff if your roll is too low. As if that were not to bluff or not to bluff. But in Little Max, circumstances can ing is at the center of the game. In Poker, you can choose have to claim a higher result each turn. In Little Max, bluffless likely to be true than the previous one, because you

Mafia

The social play of Little Max emerges from a tight fit between the game's formal structure and its changing play roles. Mafia is a garne that strips down the formal structure even further, creating play activities that are almost entirely social. As with Little Max, although the rules of Mafia are simple, the social play is increatibly deep. There are a great many variations on Mafia, and below we outline one simple version we enjoy:

Mafia is a game for approximately 8-10 players, although it can be played with more or fewer participants, it works best when all of the players are sitting around a table and can see each other. At the beginning of the game, three of the players are secretly given roles. This is usually accomplished by passing out a pre-sorted and shuffled set of playing cards, one cand for each player. The set includes one heart, two spades, and the rest of the cards are diamonds.

The player that receives the heart is moderator and is out of the game. The moderator serves as referee and runs the game. The moderator serves as referee and runs the game. The moderator collects the cards at the start of the game—they are not

A turn consists of two parts, night and day, beginning with the night portion of the turn. The moderator instructs all players to close their eyes. Then the moderator tells the mails to open their eyes. Through silent gesture, they indicate to the moderator who they want to kill The moderator then has the two designated mails players close their eyes.

The moderator announces the dawn and all of the players open their eyes, as the moderator declares the name of the player that the mafia killed during the night. That player play-acts a horrible death and is out of the game. All of the remaining players begin a debate about who is in the mafia. After 5-10 minutes of discussion, the moderator calls for a vote. The player receiving the most votes is killed by an upilsing of the villagers and is out of the game. A moderator can call for a re-vote if there is a tte, or can end the day without an uprising if there is a true deadlock. Then the moderator instructs everyone to close their eyes as night falls once more and the mafia select another victim.

The game continues until the two maffa players have been eliminated, or until there are only maffa players left and the moderator announces the end of the game. If one member of the maffa is eliminated by an uprising, the game continues (but the villagers are not informed that there is only one mafa member left). Players that are our of the game are not permitted to talk or give hints of any kind Note that because of voting mechanics, the moderator can end the game as a maffa victory when there are equal numbers of maffa and villagers.

If you've never played Mafia, the rules might seem perplexing. As long as the mafia do not noisily gesture as they select a victim, the rest of the villagers have little logical basis for making their decisions about who is a suspected member of the mafia.

In the case of Little Max, bluffing and guesswork are based on the formal framework of a progressively increasing probability of a bluff. In Mafia, on the other hand, the decision of the villagers is based entirely on hunches and social guesswork. The hidden information around which the game revolves in Little Max is the numbers on the dice. The hidden information of Mafia is the allocation of the player roles themselves. Who are the mafia How many of them are left? The mafia players aren't just playing a role, they are playing a double role, strategically eliminating villagers during the night while playing at being villagers during the day.

as the engaging drama unfolds. forced by the rules they are still observing to remain sitent finally learning the information they had been seeking, but inated, that player steps halfway out of the magic circle, truly a tangled knot of social play, Mafia also plays wonto keep their partnership a secret. The game of Mafia is puzzling ways. None of the villagers can fully trust anyone. each point mapped to the other points in delicate and closer to winning, but also closer to elimination. Each away one by one, the mafia and the villagers both edging their own? Suspense builds as the villagers are whittled lager that suspected one of them last turn? Or are they motivated the mafia last night? Did they get rid of the vilcent is he? Are those two exchanging glances? Just what too talkative? Or too quiet? What is she hiding? How innoderfully with the magic circle. Once a player has been elim-Although the mafia players can work together, they have player represents a point within a complex social space, using double-psychology to get the villagers to kill one of each villager make his or her guess? Has one player been makes for a remarkably subtle social experience. How will grows smaller and smaller, heightens the tension and The drama of the game, in which the circle of victims

Sit on the ground, back-to-back with your pattner, knees bent and elbows linked Now simply stand up together. With a bit of cooperation and a little practice, this shouldn't be too hard.

By the time you've got this mastered, you'll probably have drawn an interested spectator. Have he' join you on the ground, and all three try to stand up. This feat should take you just long enough to attract another onlooker Have him join you. Four people standing up together might be a genuine accomplishment.

By this time you should realize that there's more struggling, stumbling, and giggling each time you add another person. But this very fact assures you of an endless supply of fascinated spectators, ready to join up to help you get off the ground.⁵

Believe it or not, Stand Up is in fact a game, a cooperative game in which the players win together when they accomplish the task of standing up. Yes, it is incredibly minimal, but it is also rich in social play. The core mechanic of Stand Up is not only athletically engaging for the entire body, but also extremely social. Even when only two players take part in the game, they are challenged to work in concert as they struggle to move from one stable state (sliting) to another (standing), by making their way together through an interstitial state of great unbalance.

As they play together, the fact that the players are facing away from each other means that their primary method of communication comes from their bodies. You can talk to the other player if you like, but you don't have time to make complex statements once you begin to stand up. The awkward interlocking of your elbows not only limits

your movements, but ties these movements closely to those of your partner. You are, in a sense, creating a single body the two of you together must control. Although this kind of interaction may not seem "social," social relationships between players can take many forms, including physical interaction. There clearly is a great deal of meaningful social play in Stand Up.

truly remarkable game design. play community as part of the play itself. We find it to be a example of such a game, designed to build and grow a Games Movement are specifically intended to recruit new social play in action. Many games advocated by the New ers into the game. Stand Up offers a great example of play of Stand Up itself can act as a lure to bring more playfor an entertaining public spectacle. This means that the itself represents a simple repeatable activity that makes design of Stand Up. As the description indicates, the game leads to what is perhaps the most fascinating aspect of the group feels when they achieve the goal together. This lenge is leavened by the sense of accomplishment that the system of rewards and punishments, the increasing chalsystem, the more difficult the collaboration becomes. As a organism; the more moving parts added to this unstable more bodies there are to join into a single collective challenging it is to win. The more individuals that play, the emergent the group behavior becomes, and the more The more people that are added to the game, the more viding an experience of meaningful play. Stand Up is an players from the immediate environment, while also pro

Bounded Communities

Earlier in this chapter we introduced the concept of the play community, a term borrowed from Bernard DeKoven's book. The Well-Played Game. We like his terminology and use the idea of a play community in the spirit of DeKoven, even though our use of the term does not exactly coincide with his. For our pur-

It may seem like play communities are social phenomena that spring up mysteriously and autonomously around a game. However, game designers can have an impact on the play communities generated by their games. It is therefore important to understand what a play community is and how it works. A play community arises out of the operation of a game. It is a function of the rules of the game, the personalities of the players, the interactions between players, and the larger social context in which the game takes place.

Play communities emerge from play. Although some play communities become quite official, such as professional sports treams, most play communities are informal, temporary affairs. A play community is not usually like a housing development, requiring extensive advance planning and preparation before it can be properly inhabited. Instead, a play community is often more like a conversation, in which the improvisational act of communication itself creates the conversational context. This emergence of a social play context is not unlike that of the magic circle, which also arises spontaneously and is experienced temporally. The social boundaries of a play community are tied to the boundaries of its game or games.

There is a paradoxical relationship between a game and the play community is generates. In a sense, the play community is an effect of the game, an emergent property of the game system. At the same time, the game has no life apart from the play that activates it, and is dependent on the play community for its sustenance. One would simply not exist without the other.

To understand the beauty of this paradox, we can revisit systems theory and the concept of closed and open systems. A

closed system has no exchange with its outside environment, while an open system does have some kind of exchange. As RULES, games are closed systems, as CULTURE, games are open systems, but as PLN, we can frame games as either closed or open systems, depending on which aspects of the experience we highlight. As artificial social systems with their own special rules of meaning, games are closed systems to play. But as transformative systems that affect and are affected by what the players bring into the game, the play of a game is an open system.

Similarly, a play community can be framed as bounded or not bounded by the magic circle of a single game. A bounded play community is a closed system: it arises from the social play that takes place strictly within the space of an individual game. When we frame a play community in this way, it exists only within the time and space defined by the magic circle. However, we can also frame a play community to include more than one instance of a game. With this framing, we are considering a group of players across a number of games or across a number of sessions of play. These communities are not contained within an individual game and are not bounded. A play community that is not bounded is an open system. Both framings are useful, and in the sections that follow we look at each, focusing first on bounded play communities.

Contract for Artifice

Within the bounded play community of a game, the community arises with the onset of the game and disappears when the game is finished. A bounded play community is more synonymous with an individual game, and the rules of the game have a great influence on the nature and experience of the play community, in other words, a bounded play community is more artificial than an unbounded one, because it has less traffic with contexts outside the magic circle. This social contract for artifice affects the meaning of social relationships within the limited context of the game.

system, as Piaget makes clear: The difference lies precisely in the artificiality of a game's rulecultural and legal rules that guide moral and ethical behavior. than larger social rules shaping social convention, such as the Child is that the rules of a game are fundamentally different One of the assumptions shaping The Moral Judgment of the

morality is to be sought for in the respect which the individual All morality consists in a system of rules, and the essence of all

uninterrupted succession of earlier adult generations. him and as they are needed, but once and for all and through an have been fully elaborated, and often elaborated, not in relation to receives from adults, which means that he receives them after they Now, most of the moral rules which the child learns to respect he

> that exist between children 6 [our emphasis] The sole difference is that the relations in this case are only those preserved solely by the respect that is felt for them by individuals so-called moral realities, from one generation to another, and are alone...the rules of the game of marbles are handed down, just like the presence of rules which have been elaborated by the children In the case of the very simplest social games, on the contrary, we are in

folk games such as Marbles, we can glean a larger point from his social identity within society. behavioral rules and guidelines are one way of understanding taking that money by force from a stranger. These kinds of by and large, observance of society's rules (for whatever mix of others. A person might need money to get on the subway, but our lived social experience and affect all of our interactions with premise. "Rules of society," such as moral guidelines, permeate personal, cultural, and legal reasons) keep subway riders from Although Piaget is referring specifically to traditional childrens

customs of ordinary life no longer count."7 haps overstates), "Inside the circle of the game, the laws and that games operate only within the time and space of the bounded play communities, game behavior is not entirely conbehavior according to the rules of Chess. Conversely, within ward on the board. Outside a game, players do not feel comcovet the King and avoid the illegality of moving pawns back strained by life outside of the game. As Huizinga states (or perpelled to "capture" a king piece, or otherwise structure their magic circle. Only when a game of Chess is in play do players Rules of games, on the other hand, are quite different. We know

rather than from culture at large. As Plaget notes, "We are in the without concern for larger social institutions. The rules emerge case of social rules: because the rules are, in fact, generated from the context of the games themselves, the play of children. presence of rules which have been elaborated by the children This is why Piaget can use children's games as a special, isolated

designed to propagate a certain ideology or make use of exist between game rules and societal rules, such as a game sphere. Although it is true that there is plenty of interplay is a sense in which the games create their own private social case of commercial games designed by adults for adults, there dren's folk games is true to some extent of all games. Even in the alone." Plaget's marvelous insight into the autonomy of chil-

A spoil sport is likely to destroy the social contract entirely. will test the limits of the social contract and possibly disrupt it. Rule-breakers can damage this fragile frame. A cheating player game implies a kind of social contract. This contract consists of requires players to maintain the integrity of the magic circle. life through play. Sustaining the contract to the end of a game game, as well as the meanings and values that the players give rules that determine how players interact with each other in the As a result of this isolation, the bounded play community of a

contract of a game as well. DeKoven describes two of these, There are also distinctly interpersonal aspects of the social field of conflict we discussed in Games as Systems of Conflict. tecting players from the risk inherent in game play. There are acts as a kind of psychological buffer against uncertainty, procially one with a real risk of loss. The social contract of a game lives, most of us would not willingly enter into a conflict, especonflict with an uncertain outcome. In other spheres of our enter into the magic circle. For example, a game is a space of and values, is a social frame for understanding what it means to A social contract, a commitment to a shared set of behaviors safety and trust, in The Well-Played Game: many elements to this social contract, such as the level playing

Safety

each other on the game. We must also be able to believe that we are safe with are to play it. But, for this experience of safety, we can't rely solely The safer we feel in the game we're playing, the more willing we

Trust

ing social content, the bounded play communities games create

exist in an artificial space marked off in some way from society

the top first, I find myself much less willing to play.8 when I discover that you're cutting my rope so that you can get to you, I can accept that as part of the game. On the other hand We need...some guarantee, somewhere, that no matter what that I might die as a result of trying to climb this mountain with risking more than we are prepared to risk. Even though I'm aware happens in our pursuit of the well-played game, we will not be

ers won't become cheaters, spoil sports, or bullies. ing the rules of etiquette that allow you to trust that other playof a particular game, but of the way all games are played, includways, more a function of a player's existing relationships and and trust to be comfortable enough to enter into the social sense of understanding, not just of the knowledge of the rules enter into the game in the first place. What is trust? It is a shared rely on each other. Having a sense of trust allows players to states, players "can't rely solely on the game" for trust. They must attitudes than something a game guarantees. As DeKoven space of a game. The concepts of safety and trust are, in many contract of a game. Generally, players must feel a sense of safety Safety and trust are two elements that are part of the social

game, to the realm of shared social and cultural values. What connects the values of the game and the values of the real come to know a sense of trust belong to the world outside the social space that players enter, yet the "rules" by which players Once again we have a paradox. The game itself is an artificial ago: the implicit rules of a game. world? The answer is a concept we introduced many chapters

Knowing the Rules

DeKoven, The Well-Played Game safety of those with whom you play.—Bernard there is an acceptance of a shared responsibility for the you're near him, you give the kid a break. At all times around, you watch out for him, you play softer when gets hurt, the game stops. When there's a little kid they too establish a play community. When someone When children play together, in the street or the back lot,

a game, are implicit rules, unspoken guidelines for how to play. someone gets hurt or that play is softened when little kids join DeKoven gives in the passage above, that a game stops when rules of proper game behavior, such as etiquette. The examples tional rules that directly guide player action, and the implicit rules: the underlying constituative rules of a game, the opera-In Rules on Three Levels, we identified three layers of game

in which the game is played. The fact that both players in a between the artificial space of the game and the social context (help people that are injured). pauses temporarily) only because of an extrinsic social rule when someone gets hurt has an intrinsic effect (the game backlot play community. The implicit rule of stopping the game DeKoven identifies facilitate the social play of a neighborhood examples of implicit rules. Similarly, the implicit rules that tion that players will not cheat or become spoil sports. All are enables players to sit down and play together. So is the assumpamount of time on their turn is part of the social trust that game of Tic-Tac-Toe know that each will take a reasonable The implicit rules of a game bridge the paradoxical relationship

of game rules into three stages: Piaget's more complex formulations, we divide the acquisition progress as they learn the rules of Marbles. In paraphrasing Child. Piaget outlines distinct stages through which children For answers, we turn again to Piaget's The Moral Judgment of the do players come to know these rules? How do they affect play? arise. By what process do implicit rules come into being? How Considering the role of implicit rules in social play, questions

> notion of rules but not yet understanding the idea of fixed rules. age will play Marbles in an improvisational way, possessing a vague yet understand there are fixed rules to the game. Children of this

which cannot be questioned. reverence. The rules are felt to have their own implicit authority that there are rules, and will regard these rules with a near religious In the second stage, around ages 8 to 10, the child comes to know

do so. This final stage is essentially how adults view the rules of games 9 a social contract and can be changed if all of the players agree to child comes to realize that the rules of a game are dependent on The third and final stage generally begins after age 10. Here the

free decision reached through respectful mutual consent Our interest is in the transition into the third and final stage. Plaget sums up this transformation elegantly absolutely fixed, children begin to see rules as the outcome of a plete transformation. Rather than believing that rules are when a child's consciousness of the rules undergoes a com-

giously respecting the established order. He believes in the value of in the past and that the only way of avoiding trouble is by reliexperiment in so far as it is sanctioned by collective opinion. 10 He no longer thinks that everything has been arranged for the best He no longer relies, as do the littlest ones, upon an all-wise tradition.

existence of a play community. altered collectively. This is an important part of recognizing the to recognize that the game world is a flexible world that can be within the game, both formally and socially. They are also able dren at this stage of development are able to see rules as structures that describe how players are to relate to one another understanding of the social contract of a game. Like adults, chilber of issues relating to social play. When a child acquires an Piaget's model for the acquisition of rules sheds light on a num understanding of a game's rules, he or she also develops an

During the first stage, beginning around age 5, the child does not

game, the mechanisms of a game seem fixed and the player's Stage one of Piaget's model. When a player is learning to play a Piaget's model of a child coming to understand the concept of into a game or the general culture of games recapitulates change. In a very approximate sense, the progress of a player understanding of the game as something that is amenable to The play patterns of an experienced player demonstrate an download a hack, design her own level, or start a fan web page. walkthrough guide. Later in her play experience, she might ple, she might purchase a strategy guide or go online to find a stuck in the middle of a computer adventure game, for exambinding authority must be respected). When the player gets game as a system open to manipulation (albeit one whose two. The more that a player plays a game the more she sees the attention is focused on learning how to play, like a child in Stage has a vague sense of the game's operation, similar to a child in is often not yet familiar with its specific rules. Instead, a player player is initially brought into the magic circle of a game, a player tion to the way an adult player comes to know a game. When a Additionally, Piaget's developmental model has a loose correla-

Transformative Social Play

formative social play. from a social play point of view, a phenomenon we call transgame structure itself. We can also consider transformative play within the more rigid structure of a game actually changes the transformative play as an instance of play when free movement formed through social play. In Defining Play, we identified community, the rules of a game are experienced and trans-Marbles or the way an adult gradually enters into a game's fan Whether describing the way a child comes to know the rules of

social play forces us to reevaluate a formal understanding of or subvert their relations with other players. Transformative rule system of a game, manipulating it in order to shift, extend, transform social relationships. They actively engage with the In transformative social play, players use the game context to

> rules as fixed, unambiguous, and omnipotently authoritative. In question and are re-shaped by player action. In transformative any kind of transformative play, game structures come into tions occur on a social level. social play, the mechanisms and effects of these transforma-

are a consensus of how the game ought to be played. making a basic distinction between "ideal" and "real" rules.11 useful terms from folklorist Kenneth Goldstein, let's begin by the codes and conventions held by a play community. Real rules cover of a game of Candyland. Real rules, on the other hand, are ideal rules refer to the "official" regulations of a game, the rules How does transformative social play work? Borrowing some written in a player's guide to Zelda or printed on the inside

hand gestures to encourage potential guesses, for example) tion between ideal and real rules has less to do with the inter-As sociologist Frank E. Manning notes in The World of Play, "Real pretation of rules (whether or not players of Pictionary may use rules embody the players' ludic values and social relations while insight into the social values held by a community of players. from the ideal, or legal rule, to the real, or popular rule, offers "no double-dribbling unless you can't help it." This movement orate on the rule of "no double-dribbling" and transform it into and more to do with the elaboration of the rules of the game by ideal rules have a legal, but not social, validity."12 This distincplayers, Young kids playing Basketball, for example, might elab-

Ideal and Real Foursquare

observing children playing the game of Foursquare on a play-In the early 1980s, sociologist Linda Hughes (then a graduate Four-square require that players between the "basic" (or ideal) rules of a game and the rules that social relations. Hughes focused specifically on the difference understanding how children elaborate rules to support existing ground in the suburbs of Philadelphia. Her interest was in student at the University of Pennsylvania) spent three years were defined as the "real rules" by players. The basic rules of

Hit a ball that lands in your square to another square.

Let the ball bounce once, but only once, in your square
 Don't hit a ball that lands in another square.

game."14 This elaboration of basic, ideal rules into a complex support existing social relations. Players often dismissed the great deal to players, for they transform the formal structure to ty and social tone of player interaction. The real rules matter a by Hughes reveals a rich language of social play (see Figure 1). complex set of interactions. A list of in-game calls documented value in favor of a socially-biased ruleset over which players are experienced within a social context that decreases their basic rules of the game undergo a radical change; rather, they set of real rules is transformative social play. It is not that the introduce excitement, variety, strategy, and fun into the they generated and controlled, and that they could use to As Hughes notes, "Players were far more interested in the rules included among the list of "real rules" reported by the children. basic rules as "just things you had to do"—they were not types of play, such as Nice or Friends, which describe the quali-Calls include such shots as Babies, Bops, or Spins, as well as The real rules of the games, however, describe a much more

in "Beyond the Rules of the Game: Why Are Rooie Rules Nice?" Hughes presents a case study of a specific foursquare ruleset developed by the children she observed, Foursquare offered fertile ground for such a study because a ruleset is called by an individual player (the "king") before each round of play. "Such calls can be used for a wide variety of purposes, including increasing game excitement, adjusting the level of difficulty, and assisting or scapegoating other players." 17 These rulesets prescribe and prohibit certain actions while setting a general tone for a particular round of play. A call of "Rooie Rules," for example—a ruleset named after a girl named Rooie who was one of the regular players on the playground at the time

1–2–3–4 AC/DC Bables Baby Bottles Baby Stuff Backsides	Fish Friends Front Spins Frontsies Goody Rule	pins les	No Outs Mandy-slams pins One-handed es Part-Rules Poison Rales Purpose Duckfeet ans Purpose Stuff
Baby Stuff Backsides Backspins Backspins Bishops	Goody Rules Half Slams Half Wings Holding	Purpo: Purpo: Randi Ready	Purpase Stuff Purpase Stuff Randi Rules Raady Raady
Chances Comebacks	Kayo Stuff Knee Balls	Regul	Regular Rufes Regular Spins
Country & City	Lines	Regu	Regular Square
Donna Rules Double Taps	Low Ball Main Rules	Regu	Regular Volley Rough Słams
Duckfeet	Mean Stuff	Roug	Rough Square
Fair Ball	Medium Ball	Spins	Spins
Fakes	My Rules	Taps	
Fancy	Nice Ball	e Tee	Teenie boppers
Fancy Day	Nice Slams	귰	Three Square
Fast Ball	Nice Square	Tin.	Time In

Figure 1: The "Real Rules" of Foursquare15

Many of the social concerns of this play community are apparent in their terminology, Terms such as "mean," "nice," "friends," and "purpose," for example, are extensively used to label game "moves."

Hughes' research was conducted—meant that players were to play "nice." Rooie Rules included the following: "no holding' (the ball must be hit, not caught and thrown): "no slams' (bounces high over a player's head); no "fuckfeet" (being hit on the legs); "spins" are allowed; and so on. "Rooie Rules" operated as shorthand for a long list of individual calls.

to remain a part of the game. a standard which players had to accept and uphold if they were to a rather complex matrix of social rights and obligations. The important to understand 'nice' play than to understand the the paramount concern among these players. It is far more the real rules this call encompassed. According to Hughes, no player, including Rooie, was able to supply a complete list of despite the fact that everyone was able to play by Rooie Rules, ball "on purpose," or accidentally), and appropriate demeanor fairness, perceived intentionality (did a player illegally hold the Among the community of players Hughes observed, the call of real rules of the game referred to a standard of social behavior rules."16 The community of players used the term "nice" to refer understanding that Rooie Rules are 'nice,' and 'nice' is perhaps guity concerning the precise rules of the game is the tacit "What allows the game to proceed with such apparent ambiwithin the group (playing "nice"). Yet interestingly enough, tion. This framework rested upon shared social standards for "Rooie Rules" created a general framework for player interac-

When Players Won't Be "Nice"

In the cutthroat social ecosystem of the playground, games are often contexts for asserting and challenging social power. In the following extended excerpt from Hughes' research, Foursquare becomes an arena of conflict for boy players and girl players. The tension between the ideal and real rules comes to the fore, with both sides brokering social authority to define the game in a particular way.

ad—meant that players were to

As raight be predicted among boys and girls of this age, the boys

this following: "no holding" (the

amoust immediately drove the gills care; by very overthy using

d thrown); "no slams" (bounces

"ough stuff" (slams" and "wings") to get the girls out of the game,

luckfeet" (being hit on the legs);

This does not mean the girls were also not using such noves. Whan

"Rooie Rules" operated as short
kinds of "couldn't help it" performances demanded by "nice" play

i calls.

serves," and then slam the ball high over one of the girls' heads on

The boys would, for example, call, 'Rough square. Getting out on

the serve.

Totally outraged, the glils would counter, when one of their rumber was "fung" with a call of "Rooie Rules," but, as we might expect calling "nice" rules had little effect. The boys blanatly continued to 'slam' and 'wing' the ball past them' since the glifs were still bound by their "nice" rules, which prohibited direct confrontation over such actions, there was little they could do. As play proceeded, however, the glifs gradually abandoned some of the trappings of 'nice' play. They began handling violations quite differently. The following are excepts from field notes: We begin with three glifs and one boy on the court.

Angle (the "king") (the player that makes the call): "Rooie Rules. Rooie Rules."

waiting in line to get into the game.

Angle pauses, looks around, and then walks over to the players

Angie (to Rooie, who is waiting in line): "Rooie, tell thern your rules."

As Angle returns to her square, she glares rather pointedly at Andy the boy who just entered the game, while Rooie lists her rules.

(It should be noted that another understanding among these games is that players are only responsible for violating a rule they know about. Only if they know, and then violate, a rule can they be denied a takeover of the last round. This attempt to list very explicitly the rules in effect is highly unusual. It functions as a kind of warriing to the offending players.)

A little later, Cindy (who is now "king") calls: "Rooie Rules."

But Andy continues to 'wing' and 'slam' the ball consistently After several such hits. Roole, who is waiting in line, walks over to Andy's square.

Rooie (to Andy): "You're out! Wings are out!

Cindy steps forward to back Roote up.

Cindy (to Andy): "I called Rooie Rules and there's no wings! You're out:"

As Andy leaves the court he mumbles something about being a "fish."

The term 'fish' refers to a scapegoated player in over six months of observing this game, this was the first time the author had observed anyone being called out for wings. The exchange above is a very significant departure from the usual patterns of play. Andy is well aware of this. He knows he's been had.

The girls' revenge was short-lived, however. In reacting to the boys' refusal to play 'nice' by becoming more explicit in their calls of the rules, and by applying direct sanctions for violations, the girls began digging themselves into a rather deep hole. They expanded a call of 'Roole Rules', for example, to 'Roole rules. No slams, buyings, No oxigh suist' They ried explicitly to prohibit each of the boys' offerding actions. Naturally, the boys could always find actions the girls had not specifically prohibited. One particularly exaspeared 'king' recognized the problem when she tagged her call of the rules with. 'And nothing you guys doi' Of course, on the other side, the girls could not completely avoid violating their own rules, now differently defined. The boys were not only too happy to point this out.18

The Foursquare players are not just playing Foursquare. They are playing with the rules of Foursquare, strategically bending and tweaking the real rules to their advantage. Significantly, the goal of each group is not just to win, but to play the game in a

way that embodies the proper spirit of play, to play the game in a way that expresses their social being. These players are not merely playing a game; they are gaming the game itself, manipulating real rules within the boundaries established by the ideal rules and the larger social context in which they are playing. Framing play as gaming the game echoes some of the play styles we visited in Breaking the Rules—and it also foreshadows many of the phenomena to come in CULTURE.

Rooie Rules offers an excellent example of transformative play within the social realm, Like Plaget's study of children and the rules of Marbles, Hughes exposes the underlying social mechanisms that direct the actions and motivations of a play community. Clearly, the experience of play must be understood as a highly complex system of interaction that is influenced by formal, social, and cultural factors. These factors shape the play of a game in wonderful and often unexpected ways.

Forbidden Play

There is an exception to every rule. Our prior discussions of implicit social rules have assumed that etiquette and proper behavior are the same both inside and outside of a game. After all, it is only when a player feels the safety and trust of a familiar social framework that he or she will be comfortable entering into the magic circle. However, there can also be strong differences between the implicit rules of society and the implicit rules of a game—between the rules for what is permitted in each context. Games create social contexts in which, very often, behaviors take place that would be strictly forbidden in society at large, in a game, you can plot treachery against your friends and backstab them when they least expect it. You can engage in representations of criminal behavior. Or you can put on padded gloves and try to knock another person unconscious.

Games permit and often encourage normally taboo behavior, or forbidden play. Games throughout history and across the world have subverted norms of social behavior. Perhaps this

should come as no surprise. Inside the artificial context of the magic circle, games not only create meaning, but they play with meaning as well. The social contract of a game ensures that play spaces are "safe" spaces in which risks have fewer consequences than in the outside world. In "The Kissing Games of Adolescents of Ohio," Brian Sutton-Smith investigated Kissing games played by high school and college students in 1959, and the complex social interplay they engendered. About the forbidden play of these games, Sutton-Smith writes:

(A kissing game) allows for the expression of given impulses but at the same time safeguards players by putting limits on the way in which those impulses can be expressed. That is, the game allows the player to grow along the lines that he desires, but it safeguards him against the danger of risking too much. The game is essentially an adventure of a nonhazardous kind.¹⁹

Forbidden play, like all play is "free within the limits set by the rules;" a Recall the runner at the starting line we analyzed in Games as the Play of Pleasure. The runner wants to spring forward, but the pleasurable restriction of waiting for the starting gun heightens the pleasure of the play. In forbidden play, the sense of pleasurable restriction continues through the entire play experience, the player always in danger of overstepping the social boundaries of play, jumping the gun, and breaking the magic circle.

The difference, of course, is that forbidden play embodies behaviors not normally permitted between players. Forbidden play both sanctions and restricts social play in the complex dance of desire that Sutton-Smith outlines in the quote above. Kissing garnes stretch the implicit rules of play just enough to accommodate the kissing behaviors of the game, but never quite going far enough to threaten a complete breakdown of the social order. These games simultaneously challenge and reinforce the rules of society.

On this page and the next, we quote descriptions and provide our own commentary on several games Sutton-Smith describes in his essay.²² Each game offers a fascinating example of how games mold and shape desire and social relations by sanctioning and forbidding particular play actions.

The Card Game: The players go round in a circle, and take turns to pick a card from a pack. Having picked a card they then pick a person of the opposite sex. If they pick a spade they slap the person they have chosen on the back. If a club, they shake hands if a diamond it is a public kiss. If a heart, a private kiss.

The forbidden play: This game operates as a system for determining the form of social interaction that a pair of playes will have. Chance plays a very important role in this game, as it does in most kissing games. The players get to choose who they wish to have as a partner, but the cards determine the exact action. Thus the shuffled deck takes responsibility for the actual kissing, relieving players of that socially onerous yet libidinally desirable task.

Draw and Kiss: All the player's names are placed in a dish. All the players place their hands in rogether and draw a name. They must kiss the name drawn out as well as be kissed by the person who has drawn their name. As soon as they have kissed and been kissed they may run to take their place in a line of chairs. There is one chair short, and the person who is left over must kisse everyone.

The forbidden play: Draw and Kiss is a structural inversion of The Card Game. The form of interaction is fixed (kissing) but chance determines who kisses whom. The fact that there is an overall "osser" in this game is fascinating. What is the implied social message? Is the slowest player the one that was most prudish and hesilant or the most indulgent? It sheling kissed by everyone else a punishment or an inverted reward?

Endurance Kissing: It is essentially a comic endurance test, in which a couple sees how long they can hold a kiss without breathing. A watch is used. The bystanders laugh at the competitors it is done

The forbidden play: In Endurance Kissing, we find an unusually agohistic forbidden play competition instead of a chance-based activity. The taboo activity—kissing—is made even more sexually includgent by extending its length. At the same time, the normally intimate act is transformed into a performance of skill, which sanctions the activity as a contest, disguisting its sexual nature. The wagering of a soda emphasizes the competitive nature of the activity.

Rashlight: Couples sit around the edge of a dark room. One person sits in the center with a flashlight, if he flashes it onto a couple that is not kissing, then he joins the opposite sex member of that couple, and the other member takes his place in the center with the flashlight.... In short it was normal in this game to be kissing, not normal to be caught unembraced.

The forbidden play. In Hashlight, there is a truly complex interplay with the taboo of kissing. The game provides opportunity for physical contact, while also offering a defense against the possibility of intimacy. The fact that players are hiding in the dark as they smooch makes it clear that kissing is something that is not a public activity. At the same time, the entire premise of the game is that another player spends his time "checking" to see if players are kissing. This moderator heightens the forbidden aspect by monitoring the action, while also punishing players who are not taking part. The game also acts as a sorting mechanismif you don't like your partner enough to kiss him or her, you become the monitor, looking for a non-kissing couple with a partner that suits you.

Pass the Orange: This is usually played as a relay. The orange is placed under the chin and then the next player, a member of the opposite sex, endsavors to get it under his chin without the use of his hands. Or it may be played with the members of each sex alternating around in a circle. In a number of reports the couple musts kiss if they drop the orange while passing it from one chin to the next in one; they kiss if they pass it on successfully.

The forbidden play: Like Endurance Kissing, Pass the Orange permits physical contact in public, by franting the game as a competitive activity. The kissing component of the game can act as either a reward or punishment for dropping the orange, depending on the game variant used. This ambiguity makes it clear that the elements of raboo, desire, and sevual contact can be configured in a myriad of arrangements within the social play space of the game.

Spin the Bottle: All versions have the traditional circle of players with one player in the center spinning the bottle.....Generally, the center oplayer must kiss the peripheral player pointed out by the bottle. Usually the kissing is done in public, but the couple may go off and do it in private. If it points to a player of the same sex that player may go into the center, or it may be spun again, or the person to the right may be kissed.... There is much report of preventing to avoid the bottle, and of cheating so that it ended up pointing toward the pretty or the handsome and not towards the unattractive

the socially permissable.

The forbidden play: Spin the Bottle remains the classic game of adolescent kissing, it offers a flexible structure that allows for both public and private smooching, while also providing a defense against the responsibility of choice. As with the earlier meenie-minery-moe "counting out" games we discussed in Games os Systems of Uncertainty and Breaking the Rules the role of chance in Spin the Bottle is clearly manipulated as part of the play of the game.

The social play of kissing games is highly structured, allowing players to experience normally taboo behavior within restricted contexts. Games such as Pass the Orange and Endurance Kissing guarantee gratification of certain desires (physical context), while placing limitations on excess. As Sutton-Smith notes, "One may enjoy a kissing relationship, but be protected from a more total and intimate commitment. The uncertainty of what "might" happen is removed by the structure of the game."²¹

481

play don't just play games, but play with social structures. The in forbidden play, he isn't only rebelling against the general the etiquette of proper behavior that exists outside the game. participants game the tension between desire and the limits of Foursquare players gamed the system of rules. Forbidden play rules as well. If that were not the case, forbidden play would rules of game play, he is also rebelling against larger social trump the implicit rules of society. Thus when a player engages within defined limits, the operational rules of forbidden play cheat on your spouse. But by permitting improper behavior er to cheat in a game, in the same way that it isn't proper to Implicit rules embody more general social values. It isn't prop-Playing in a sportsmanlike manner usually means adhering to Rooie Rules Foursquare players, people engaged in forbidden not have the transgressive quality of being forbidden. Like the Forbidden play entails a shift in the implicit rules of a game.

even while it gains intensity as it both challenges and satisfies bidden play occurs only because of the artificiality of the game, exist outside the game, the playful expression of hidden desires, game's boundaries. Without the "proper" social contexts that forbidden play bridges social relations inside and outside the sanctioned space of a game. So is the ruthless mob mentality of example, is a kind of behavior that only happens within the Dressing and acting like a fictional character in a LARP, for maintains this paradoxical tension with the real world: the fortects those within the game from sanction. The game itself be plain old play. At the same time, the game's magic circle prostatus as pleasing and transgressive; forbidden play would just nutty behavior, or normally criminal actions would not gain arts tournaments and Rugby matches. In all of these examples, SISSYFIGHT 2000 or the physical melee of full-contact martial well as in folk games, and it doesn't have to invoive sexual play. Forbidden play can appear in commercially designed games as

name. Throughout this schema, we have investigated many kinds of good to go by communities, from the elemental social roles of actor and counteractor to the complex social tangles of forbidden play. Each offered a different instance of the interplay between the ret to game and the outside world, between social interactions on both sides of the magic circle. We finish this chapter by preparation both sides of the magic circle. We finish this chapter by preparation between the artificiality of game play and general means between the artificiality of game, play and general means between with, after, behind, over, or about. Thus yould metagame means 'the game beyond the game' and refers to the aspects of game play that derive not from the rules of the game, but from interplay with surrounding contexts.

Metagaming refers to the relationship between the game and outside elements, including everything from player attitudes and polay styles to social reputations and social contexts in which the game is played. Post-game locker room conversame shout the match are metagame interactions. Memorizing words in the Scrabble dictionary is a metagame activity, the honing of in-game skills. The typical playing strategies of a particular Go master are metagame information, useful if you are playing against him in a tournament mext week. In all cases, the metagame refers to the way a game engages with factors permeating the space beyond the edges of the magic circle.

Game players use the term "metagame" in several different ways. For example, in live-action role-playing games," metagaming is when a player gains an advantage by using information that his or her character would not possess—and it is generally considered cheating. Some forms of metagaming, such as trash-talking to distract your opponent in a Racquetball match, fall into the category of unsportsmanlike behavior. Still other kinds of metagaming, such as painting and preparing wargaming figures, are thought of as valuable pursuits. These various uses of the term essentially all refer to the same thing: activities that link the game to outside contexts.

A Metagame Model

definition, Garfield includes a wide array of social play phe games. In it, he defines metagame as the way in which "a game Garfield presents a useful model for thinking about meta-Apocalypse: Essays on Roleplaying, game designer Richard In an essay titled "Metagames," written for Horsemen of the nomena. He divides manifestations of the metagame into four interfaces outside of itself."23 Under the rubric of this broad

1.What a player brings to a game

What a player takes away from a game

3. What happens between games

4. What happens during a game other than the game itself 24

turn, using some of the examples that Garfield himself presents. On the next few pages, we outline each of these categories in

To: What a Player Brings to a Game

players often enjoy. In minatures wargaming such as in what to bring to a game, though some resources are mental resources. A player usually has some level of choice to memorize cards in Hearts are examples of intangible, bring. The study of certain openings in Chess or the ability tangible form and sometimes not. For example, a deck Warhammer, players spend many hours prior to a game that the selection of resources for a game is a process that mandatory: no Soccer ball, no Soccer game. Garfield notes a Baseball game are physical components a player might taken to a game of Magic:The Gathering or a bat carried to Players always bring something to a game, sometimes in designing their armies, both aesthetically and strategically

racket, Basebali bat, or even physical reflexes. Strategic ponents, such as a deck of cards, a pair of dice, a Tennis categories. Game Resources refers to necessary game com-Garfield organizes what players bring to a game into four

> are often created and shared among a game community, of weaker players? fan sites. Player Reputation is the final category of what either through "official" channels or unofficial ones, such as cheats, and knowledge of play patterns. These resources Resources refers to optional elements like game guides, playing style or memorizing levels. Peripheral Game Preparation or Training includes studying an opponent's known to bluff, open up the board early, or take advantage players bring to a game, and is often not voluntary. Are you

From: What a Player Takes Away from a Game

ousness with which players take a game is affected by how group of players. Sometimes, a player takes something tangible, like gloating rights or social status among a formal competition, or the stakes might be something less ative influence on player attitude and performance. aspect of the metagame can have a strong postive or negwithin a ladder structure or other organized contest. This much the current game affects another game, particularly scale tournaments can span weeks or months. The seriemerge from a series of games: best two out of three. Largeaway after just a single game. Other times, victory might something quantitative, like prize money or standings in a *stakes. Winning a stakes game might mean taking away* uncommon, for example, to play a game for some kind of Players always take something away from a game. It is not

the stakes, such as the experience of the game itself. A playbizarre occurrences that happened during the course of (or vice versa), spectacularly good or bad moves, the game: the way victory was seized from the jaws of defeat ence into a tale, a player can also take away the story of the beliefs about an opponent or about the game as a whole, er's experience might serve to validate or contradict their Players also take things away from a game unrelated to thereby influencing future games. Crafting play experi-

> in Games as Narrative Play, some games, such as a driving edge about how the game works or a collectible card won away resources for future games, whether it is the knowlexplicit part of the game. Of course, players can also take game with replay capability, make this retelling play an play. I can't believe I pitched a perfect game! As we discussed

Between: What Happens Between Games

during a game. Players commonly reflect on strategy, train-Gi-Oh, buying a new Tennis racket, or planning a new Go ing, or planning for the next game. I have got to play more between games can be as important as what happens experience. For many players, the activities that take place metagame activities that can add value to the core play The space between games is filled with a rich palette of pened last game or players spreading stories and building pursuit, and between-game metagaming can include not everything that happens between games is a solitary strategy, are all important between-game activities. But game, whether that involves assembling a new deck for Yu*aggressively next time.* Planning what to bring to the next players communicating with each other about what hap-

gic. Decorating a skateboard with stickers between X rience, but neither is usually done primarily in order to win between games and add to the meaning of the play expepart of the metagame. Both of these activities occur battle about to be enacted in a miniatures wargame is also Games competitions, or reading historical accounts of a Additionally, not all between-game metagaming is strate-

Game Itself During: What Happens During a Game Other than the

to the influence of real life on a game in play. There are This category of the metagame is quite diverse, and refers as the stakes of the game.

either endorse or censor the metagame behavior. play. It is then up to the social community of players to stream of vociferous insults, you are playing a metagame and are trying to distract your opponent with a steady affect the metagame as well. If you are playing Table Tennis as good lighting or a noisy atmosphere. Trash talking, playand camaraderie, or the physical environment of play such occurs during play are social factors such as competition often quite powerful. Among the ways that the metagame the experience of play, factors that are always present and many factors external to the magic circle that enter into into unsportsmanlike behavior, violating implicit rules of against him. This kind of metagaming behavior may turn ing "head games," and exploiting player reputations all

in which Garfield actively incorporated metagame play into the Developers Conference: game are taken from a talk Garfield gave at the 2000 Game of the magic circle. The comments that follow regarding the references the game as a collection of parts that pass in and out game design itself. Even the game's subtitle, "The Gathering," wildly popular success is due in large part to the innovative way Magic: The Gathering, a game he designed early in his career. Its Garfield uses these categories to discuss the metagame of nate the diverse possibilities of the metagame. In his essay, Garfield's categories of To, From, Between, and During illumi-

as Magic players but as deck constructors and analysts of the game that there are players who specialize in it, known not time as the actual play of the game. This is such an important part cards for the game, Choosing game resources to bring is a large To: Magic was distinctive in that each player brings half of the part of the appeal to many players, and it can occupy as much

er randomly selects a card from her deck before play and sets it aside before the game starts. The winning player wins both cards From: A traditional way to play Magic is for ante, in which each play-

gies, and take part in rich player communities. game resources and information. Players trade cards, share strate-Between: Between garries of Magic, there is much circulation of

prove that particular combinations of cards are viable.25 others are driven to win with unusual strategies, or in order to some people simply strive to be victorious as often as possible, During: Reputation is important in all kids of Magic play. While

Designing the Metagame

quickly understand that the planning metagame of Magic goes a game. Because preparation is a necessary part of play, players design decisions. The essential structure of the game is that hand in hand with the game's face-to-face dueling. players create their own collections of cards and bring them to Magic's rich metagame emerges from a handful of key game

tures are only one of several types of Magic cards! thousands of different kinds of possible creatures. And creatype), plus numerous other "special case" abilities, makes for can bypass any non-flying defending creatures. These "excepbut individual cards detail many special kinds of creatures, Costikyan calls an "exceptions game," a game that contains instead from the many special cases that the thousands of difcomplexity of Magic doesn't come from these core rules but creature "stats" (casting cost, attack rating, defense rating, color kind of classificatory complexity, combined with variability in which can intercept flying creatures, but can only defend. This tions" lead to new creature-combinations, such as flying walls, such as walls, which can only defend, or flying creatures, which Magic contains a simple set of rules to resolve creature attacks, many variants on a simple set of standard rules,26 For example, ferent cards make possible. Magic is what game designer Greg The rules of play revolve around a simple turn structure. The

> The modular, specialized nature of Magic cards ensures that its release, it still continues to engage players. play. That is one of the reasons why, more than ten years after pieces, the cards are portable and collectible and lend themcombinations, deck constructions, and play strategies. As game part of the metagame is exploring the range of cards, card Magic: The Gathering facilitates and encourages metagaming selves naturally to trading and wagering. On innumerable levels

pleasures, but will not affect meaningful play in contexts out a play experience will provide its own short-lived intrinsic as 'elders' and giving clues to newbies,"27 Without a metagame, a game's life span.) remember an online adventure game where take the metagame into account. As game designer François side the game. players stayed on for months after solving the mystery, serving Dominic Laramée writes,"Metagaming can drastically increase To guarantee a game's long-term success, the designer must

game is beyond the reach of the game designer, for it emerges to formal game design. In fact, most of any given game's metaemergent, indirect outcome of the rules. In a similar sense, social designers only directly design rules; the play experience is an Designing meaningful social play, usually means designing a from play communities and their larger social worlds play, and the metagame in particular, are only indirectly linked chapters, game design is a second-order design problem. Game meaningful metagame. But how? As we have noted in earlier

gaming group homemakers spends hours online playing Hearts Yet careful game design can contribute to the emergence of a and Bridge while devoting most of their attention to chatting tions that gain life outside of game play. For example, the online tures such as chat systems transform play via the metagame by rich metagame, in many online games, web community feawith friends. Players who make friends playing Hearts with allowing players to establish and nurture in-game social rela-

provide the play context in which it is put to use. particular player's style of Magic trash-talking, but he helped games you design. Richard Garfield might not have designed a ences you want for your players, both within and around the be indirectly designed, it is up to you to encourage the experiwhich it makes it meanings. Although the metagame can only like that of Magic, largely derives from the designed context in oped as part of the metagame. The strength of this community, experience it provides, but also for the social community develhomemakers will value the game not only for the formal play

a game? Physical economies that encourage social trading and structures that reward players for boning up on strategy before and from your game? How will they metagame between and communities? There are endless game design approaches. playing for stakes? Tools that let players create their own play worlds that open up imaginative metagame play? Deep formal encourage the right kind of metagame? Will it be narrative during play sessions? What structures can you provide that will texts where their game will be played. What will players bring to design and production, losing sense of the larger social con-Too often, game designers get caught up in the intricacies of

you can't directly design the metagame. But by understanding take your game home-and see what happens. It is true that interact before games, after games, between games. Ask them the iterative design process, pay attention to how your players One key: remember to observe your players. As you go through texts, you can do your best to cultivate rich metagaming play. that you are always already designing within and for social con how they'd play if you let them take your game home. Let them

magic circle and beyond. In our RULES-based explorations of The metagame and social play bring us to the brink of the

What are those larger social contexts? They are, of course, the munities or the ideal and real rules of games, social play is at social play. Whether it is bounded and unbounded play comenclosed, internally driven systems of experience, at other times games, we kept our understanding of game systems firmly very much a product of larger social contexts this double-framing been as evident as in our discussion of as systems that interact with the world at large. Nowhere has closed. In PLAY, things shifted. We sometimes viewed games as once contingent on the formal structures of rules, while also

wonderfully open-ended landscape of games as CULTURE open, sometimes closed territory of PLAY to take up instead the games are played. In doing so we leave behind the sometimes is to those contexts we now turn, to the cultures in which of nationality and ethnicity to ideologies and political beliefs. it community, but is part of myriad cultural contexts, from spheres shark, every Spin The Bottle kisser doesn't merely exist in a play cultures of games. Every Magic duelist, every homemaker card

Further Reading

Hughes "Beyond the Rules of the Game: Why are Rooie Rules Nice?" by Linda A.

posed use. Hughes' study reveals the close connection between games ences they hold between ideal rules and "real" rules. "Real" rules refer to An excellent case study of children playing Foursquare and the differthe actual rules children make use of, rather than the rules they are supment of a formal systems of rules how social relationships between players dramatically impact the enact and the social contexts in which they are played, and helps to identify

torical surveys, and methodological treatises on the study of play and interactions among children at play. The essays include case studies, hislore, anthropology, psychology, sociology, and education, focusing on Thomas W. Johnson, and Felicia R. McMahon, eds. A collection of essays from fields as far ranging as American studies, folk-30<u>4</u>

"Double Dutch and Double Cameras," Ann Richman Beresin "Overview: Methods in Children's Folklore," Brian Sutton-Smith "Urban Schoolyard," Ann Richman Beresin

"Children's Games and Gaming," Linda A. Hughes

Richard Bartle http://www.mud.co.uk/richard/hcds.htm "Hearts, Clubs, Diamonds, Spades: Players Who Suit MUDs," by

es to playing MUDs. Different player types interact with each other and In this online essay, Bartle creates a taxonomy outlining four approachsocial interactions and play styles games encourage, especially in online age particular playor types. His essay is useful in considering the kinds of and Bartle outlines how to build and manage communities to encourwith the game world in different ways toward radically distinct ends.

The Moral Judgment of the Child, by Jean Piaget

changing attitudes of children toward the authority of these rules. handed down from one group of children to another, and tracks the to distinguish right and wrong. Piaget focuses on the qualities of rules understand games and the moral development by which children learn between the cognitive development that allows children to play and Plaget's study of "the rules of the game" of Marbles draws a parallel

The Rules of the Game, chapters 1-6

Children's Folklore: A Source Book, Brian Sutton-Smith, Jay Mechling. 1. Brian Sutton-Smith, 'A Syntax for Play and Games' In Child's Play, Brian Sutton-Smith and R.E. Herron (New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1971), p.

- Richard Bartle, "Hearts, Clubs, Diamonds, Spades: Players Who Suit MUDs." muDs." http://www.mud.co.uk/richard/hcds.htm>.
- 3. Reiner Knizia, Dice Games Properly Explained (Tadworth, Surrey: Right Way Books, 1992), p. 197-8.
- 4, Ibid, p. 198–99.

children. Several of the essays offer excellent explorations of the interac-

ent forms of pleasure and social play engendered by games. tions that emerge from the context of play, and offer insight into differ-

- 5. Andrew Fluegelman and Shoshana Tembeck, The New Games Book (New York: Doubleday, 1976), p. 65.
- 6. Jean Plaget, The Moral Judgment of the Child (New York: Free Press 1997), p. 13-14.
- Johann Huizinga, Homo Ludens: A Study of the Play Element in Culture (Boston: Beacon Press, 1955), p. 12.
- 8. Bernard DeKoven, The Well-Played Game (New York: Doubleday, 1978)
- Piaget, The Moral Judgment of the Child, p. 28. p. 16-17.
- 10. Ibid., p65.
- Kenneth Goldstein, "Strategies in Counting Out" In The Study of Games. & Sons, 1971), p. 172-177. edited by Elliott Avedon and Brian Sutton-Smith (New York: John Wiley
- 12. Frank E. Manning, The World of Play. Proceedings of the 7th Annual York: Leisure Press, 1983), p. 19. Meeting of The Association of the Anthropological Study of Play (New
- 13. Linda Hughes, "Children's Games and Gaming," In Children's Folklore: A Source Book, edited by Brian Sutton-Smith, Jay Mechling, Thomas W. 1999), p. 100. Johnson, and Felicia R. McMahon (Logan: Utah State University Press,
- 14. Ibid., p. 100. SALEN & ZHAMEPAANRULES OF PLAY
- 15. Ibid., p. 100.
- 16. Linda Hughes, *Beyond the Rules of the Game: Why are Rooie Rules
- Nice?" In The World of Play, edited by Frank E. Manning.
- 17. Ibid., p. 192.
- 18. lbid., p. 192-194.

Rules of Play | Salen and Zimmerman

- 19. Brian Sutton-Smith, "The Kissing Games of Adolescents of Ohio." In The York: John Wiley and Sons, 1971), p. 213. Study of Games, edited by Elliott Avedon and Brian Sutton-Smith (New
- 20. Roger Caillois, Man, Play, and Games (London: Thames and Hudson,
- 21. Sutton-Smith, "The Kissing Games of Adolescents of Ohio," p.213–216

22. lbid., p. 213.

- 23. Richard Garfield, "Metagames." In Horsemen of the Apocalypse: Essays on Roleplaying (London: Jolly Roger Games, 2000), p. 16.
- 24. lbid., p. 17.
- 25. http://www.gdconf.com/archives/proceedings/2000/ >
- 26. Greg Costikyan, "Don't be a Vidiot: What Computer Game Designers can Learn from Non-Electronic Games." Speech given at the 1998 Game Developer's Conference. Archived at:
- <www.costik.com/vidiot.hmtl>.
- 27. http://www.gignews.com/fdl_mainstreamdesign.htm

Rules of Play Game Design Fundamentals

Katie Salen and Eric Zimmerman

The MIT Press Cambridge, Massachusetts London, England

book design and photography | Katie Salen